		1		
	10/046.867	GERSHUN ET AL.		
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Gregory E. Webb	1751		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in thi or other appropriate communic IGHTS. This application is subj	s application. If not include ation will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>	
1. $\square$ This communication is responsive to $\underline{101404}$ .				
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-37</u> .				
3. $\square$ The drawings filed on $\underline{}$ are accepted by the Examine	or.			
4.	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in of this communication to file a reference of this application.  itted. Note the attached EXAMII as reason(s) why the oath or deceived be submitted. Son's Patent Drawing Review (Formula of the submitted). It is Amendment / Comment or in the deceived be submitted of the header according to 37 CFR 1.	this national stage applicate this national stage applicate this national stage applicate the polycomplying with the requirement of the claration is deficient.  PTO-948) attached the Office action of the 121(d).  AL must be submitted. N	juirements OTICE OF	
Attachment(s)				
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Inform	5. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summ	6. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413),		
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No./Mail Date	8), 7. Examiner's Ame	Paper No./Mail Date 7.  Examiner's Amendment/Comment		
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. ⊠ Examiner's Stat 9. ☐ Other	<ul><li>8. ☑ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance</li><li>9. ☐ Other</li></ul>		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) No	tice of Allowability	Part of Paper No.		

Art Unit: 1751

## REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

- 1. Claims 1-37 are allowed. The applicant's arguments and amendments submitted 10/14/04 have been considered and found persuasive.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- 3. The applicant has amended claim 1 to further narrow the allowed percentage of alcohol. The applicant has further narrowed the claim with the specific requirement that the amount of alcohol be no more than 0.8% of the composition.
- 4. As the prior art fails to teach this narrow range and further fails to teach the applicant's specific upper limit, such claims have been found to be allowable.
- 5. Cable ('897) teaches various examples containing alcohol. In these examples, Cable teaches quantities of alcohol as high as 4%. As such high concentrations are excluded by the applicant's instant claims, Cable fails to anticipate the applicant's specific upper limit requirement of the alcohol.
- 6. Similarly, Choy ('981) teaches examples containing alcohol in amounts as high as 5.9%. Aleksejczyk teaches alcohol contents as high as 5%. Masters ('422) teaches alcohol in amounts as high as 6.4% and Church teaches alcohol content in amounts up to 11.75%.
- 7. Thus as the prior art teaches quantities significantly higher than the upper limit required by the instant claims, the prior art fails to anticipate or render obvious instant claim 1.
- 8. Concerning claim 37, the examiner agrees with the applicant's argument. Aleksejczyk is concerned with the wetting of their compositions and not the actual penetration of the cleaning solution into soils. The applicant's term "calculating" is meant in this claim to be more than a visual inspection. The applicant's step (c) in this claim is well known. Various gravimetric

Art Unit: 1751

techniques have been shown in the past. The applicant's step (b) involves a different process.

On page 18 the applicant "calculates" the rate of penetration using a tensiometer in a novel manner. Specifically, the inventive step uses real-time displacement and mass measurements for a multi-step process. The examiner was unable to find this means of calculating a rate of penetration.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory E. Webb whose telephone number is 571-272-1325. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-17:30 (m-f).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1751

gw

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory E. Webb Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751